

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/684,010	Applicant(s) SMITH ET AL.	
	Examiner JAMISUE A. PLUCINSKI	Art Unit 3629	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Non-Final

(1) JAMISUE A. PLUCINSKI.

(3) _____.

(2) Marilyn Khorsandi.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 April 2010

Time: 4:00

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

103 rejection

Claims discussed:

Claim 7

Prior art documents discussed:

Boucher Reference.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner Called the attorney to cancel Claim 7, due to the fact that Claim 7 was too broad and did not have the feature which the examiner considered to be allowable, as well as there be a lack of antecedent basis.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Jamisue A. Plucinski/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3629

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)